AUKUS Pillar I: Strategic Capability or Industrial Gamble?

Australia's AUKUS submarine program is often treated as a defence procurement project. This Special Report argues that the decisive variable is now industrial capacity: whether the United States, the United Kingdom and Australia can regenerate the defence-industrial base required to deliver and sustain nuclear-powered submarines after decades of contraction.

PREPARED BY	Dr John Bruni *Founder & Chief Executive Officer, SAGE International*	**DATE**	09 July 2026
CLASSIFICATION	Open Source / Unclassified	**DISTRIBUTION**	Public Release

Executive Summary

Australia's acquisition of nuclear-powered submarines under AUKUS Pillar I represents the most ambitious defence capability project undertaken since Federation.

The strategic rationale is compelling. Nuclear-powered submarines offer range, endurance, survivability and deterrent value—qualities essential for operations across the vast maritime geography of the Indo-Pacific. At the same time, AUKUS deepens strategic integration with Australia's principal allies, the United States and the United Kingdom.

Yet the central challenge confronting AUKUS has evolved.

The principal question is no longer whether Australia requires nuclear-powered submarines. Instead, it is whether the industrial systems responsible for designing, constructing, transferring and sustaining them can deliver the capability within the required timeframe.

This Brief argues that AUKUS should be understood not simply as a defence acquisition programme, but as a test of Western industrial resilience.

Key challenges include:

- **United States:** retains world-leading submarine design expertise but faces significant constraints in industrial production capacity.
- **United Kingdom:** maintains sovereign nuclear-submarine capability, but concurrent submarine programmes stretch its industrial base.
- **Australia:** confronts the most demanding challenge — sustaining the Collins-class fleet while simultaneously establishing an indigenous nuclear-submarine enterprise centred on Osborne in South Australia.

These industrial realities create a series of strategic risks:

- Delays to the Collins Life-of-Type Extension (LOTE)
- Continued constraints in United States Virginia-class production
- Slippage in British industrial output

- Escalating costs

Any of these could result in a temporary capability gap within the Royal Australian Navy during the critical decade of the 2030s.

Simultaneously, the scale of investment required for AUKUS raises questions regarding opportunity costs across the broader Australian Defence Force—particularly for surface combatants, guided weapons, autonomous systems, and force sustainment.

The success of AUKUS will therefore depend on more than engineering excellence. It will hinge on whether three democratic nations can regenerate the industrial capacity necessary to sustain long-term strategic competition.

Australia should continue to pursue AUKUS as its primary pathway to an advanced undersea capability. However, it must also recognise that the programme is exposed to significant industrial, political, and fiscal risks.

Managing those risks—not simply funding the programme—will determine whether AUKUS ultimately strengthens Australia's strategic position or creates a prolonged period of vulnerability.

Key Judgements

> **Key Judgement:** AUKUS remains strategically sound, but its success now depends less on submarine technology than on allied industrial mobilisation, workforce depth and transition-risk management.

- **The strategic rationale for Australia acquiring nuclear-powered submarines remains compelling.**
  Their endurance, stealth, and range make them central to Australia's future deterrence posture and Indo-Pacific maritime strategy.
- **The principal risk to AUKUS has shifted from technology to industrial capacity.**
  Success now depends on the ability of the United States, the United Kingdom and Australia to expand or establish defence-industrial ecosystems capable of delivering submarines on schedule.
- **The United States retains world-leading nuclear-submarine design expertise. However, its industrial production base remains under significant pressure.**
  Increasing interest in South Korean shipbuilding methods reflects a desire to improve production tempo rather than compensate for technological shortcomings.
- **The Collins Life-of-Type Extension is the critical determinant of Australia's transition to a nuclear-powered submarine fleet.**
  Delays or reduced availability could create a temporary sovereign submarine capability gap during the 2030s.
- **AUKUS is increasingly becoming Australia's dominant defence investment.**
  Without careful management, escalating costs and industrial demands could distort future force structure decisions and crowd out other high-priority Australian Defence Force capabilities.
- **Osborne has become strategically indispensable.**

Beyond its role as a construction yard, Osborne underpins Australia's long-term sovereign submarine industrial capability and is central to both federal defence policy and South Australia's economic strategy.

Strategic Context

Australia's strategic geography has not changed. The strategic environment around it has:

- Intensifying strategic competition in the Indo-Pacific
- Rapid military modernisation across the region
- Growing uncertainty regarding the future balance of power

These dynamics have reinforced the importance of maritime deterrence and undersea warfare. For an island continent dependent upon secure sea lines of communication, submarines provide unique capabilities that cannot be readily replicated by other platforms.

Their ability to operate covertly across vast distances, gather intelligence, deny maritime access and complicate adversary planning makes them one of the most valuable strategic assets available to the Australian Defence Force.

The decision to replace the cancelled Attack-class conventional submarine programme with a pathway towards nuclear-powered submarines under AUKUS reflected more than a procurement decision—it was a fundamental reassessment of Australia's long-term strategic requirements.

While conventional submarines remain highly capable, the vast distances of the Indo-Pacific significantly reduce their effective patrol time compared with nuclear-powered submarines (SSNs).

SSNs offer greater endurance, higher sustained speed and the ability to remain on station for extended periods without surfacing or snorkelling.

The AUKUS Optimal Pathway seeks to manage this transition through three overlapping phases:

1. Increase the rotational presence of United States and United Kingdom nuclear-powered submarines at HMAS Stirling under Submarine Rotational Force–West (SRF-West), allowing Australian personnel to develop experience operating alongside allied nuclear fleets.
2. Transfer three Virginia-class submarines from the United States during the early 2030s, subject to American industrial capacity and congressional approval.
3. Construct and operate the SSN-AUKUS design in the long term, with Australia ultimately establishing sovereign construction and sustainment capability at Osborne in South Australia.

While this pathway is strategically coherent, it assumes that industrial, political, and financial conditions will remain sufficiently stable over more than two decades.
That assumption deserves closer examination.

Figure 1. Australia's AUKUS Transition Pathway (2025–2055)

Period	United States	United Kingdom	Australia	Strategic Risk
2025–2027	Virginia production expansion; workforce and	Astute completion; Dreadnought construction	Collins LOTE begins; Osborne redevelopment;	**Low**

	supply chain rebuilding		nuclear workforce development	
2028–2030	Production increases targeted; industrial reforms continue	SSN-AUKUS design matures; Barrow expansion	SRF-West matures; Collins availability under pressure	**Moderate–High**
2031–2035	Planned Virginia transfers to Australia	UK industrial support for SSN-AUKUS	Virginia introduction; Collins retirement; transition to nuclear fleet	**Very High**
Late 2030s–2040s	Stable Virginia production	SSN-AUKUS production	First Australian-built SSN-AUKUS enters service	**Moderate**
2050 onwards	Fleet sustainment	Fleet sustainment	Mature sovereign nuclear-submarine enterprise	**Low**

SAGE Assessment: The period from **2031 to 2035** represents the point of greatest strategic vulnerability. During these years, the success of AUKUS will depend simultaneously on the continued availability of the Collins-class fleet, timely Virginia-class transfers from the United States, and Australia's ability to establish the workforce and industrial capacity necessary to support a sovereign nuclear-submarine enterprise.

Industrial Reality: Three Nations, Three Different Problems

The success of AUKUS Pillar I ultimately depends upon three separate industrial enterprises operating in parallel. While often discussed collectively, the United States, the United Kingdom and Australia each confront fundamentally different industrial challenges.

Understanding these differences is essential to assessing the likelihood that the AUKUS pathway will deliver Australia's future submarine capability on schedule.

United States: Design Leadership, Production Constraint

The United States remains the world's foremost nuclear-submarine power. It has retained continuous sovereign design expertise from the Los Angeles-class through the Seawolf, Virginia and now Columbia-class programs. America's challenge is therefore not one of engineering knowledge or technological sophistication.

Instead, the principal constraint lies within its industrial base.

Following the end of the Cold War, reduced procurement rates, consolidation within the defence industry and workforce attrition contributed to a gradual contraction of the submarine construction enterprise. Today, the United States possesses the capability to design advanced nuclear-powered submarines but struggles to produce them at the rate required by contemporary strategic demand.

Current production remains below the level necessary to meet US Navy force structure objectives while simultaneously replacing submarines proposed for transfer to Australia. The pressure created by this production shortfall has become one of the defining risks within the AUKUS pathway.

Recognising these challenges, Washington has begun examining industrial practices employed by South Korea's globally competitive shipbuilding sector. Companies such as Hanwha Ocean and HD Hyundai Heavy Industries have demonstrated highly efficient production methods based upon modular construction, digital shipyard management, advanced automation and integrated supply chains. Rather than outsourcing submarine construction, the United States is seeking to improve its own industrial productivity by incorporating elements of these proven manufacturing approaches.

The implication for Australia is significant. The transfer of Virginia-class submarines depends not only upon political commitment in Washington but also upon the ability of American industry to increase production without undermining US Navy operational requirements.

United Kingdom: Expanding Capacity within an Existing Enterprise

Britain faces a different industrial challenge.

Unlike the United States, the United Kingdom has maintained a comparatively smaller but continuous sovereign nuclear-submarine enterprise centred on BAE Systems, Rolls-Royce, Babcock and the Barrow-in-Furness shipyard.

The challenge confronting Britain is not technological capability but industrial capacity.

The Royal Navy is simultaneously completing the Astute-class attack submarines, constructing the Dreadnought ballistic missile submarines and preparing to transition towards SSN-AUKUS. These overlapping programs place sustained pressure upon workforce availability, infrastructure and supplier capacity.

To date, Britain appears to have focused primarily upon expanding its existing industrial model through infrastructure investment, workforce growth and modernisation rather than adopting alternative foreign production methodologies.

For Australia, the United Kingdom's experience demonstrates that even mature nuclear-submarine enterprises require decades of sustained investment to maintain production tempo.

Australia: Building an Enterprise from the Ground Up

Australia's challenge is fundamentally different from either of its AUKUS partners.

Rather than expanding an existing nuclear-submarine industry, Australia is seeking to establish one almost entirely from scratch.

This involves far more than constructing submarines.

It requires development of:

- A sovereign nuclear stewardship framework
- Specialised engineering and technical workforces
- Nuclear-qualified maintenance facilities
- Regulatory institutions
- Education and apprenticeship pipelines
- Secure industrial supply chains
- Long-term sustainment capability

Osborne therefore represents considerably more than a construction yard. It is the physical manifestation of Australia's ambition to develop sovereign industrial capacity within one of the world's most demanding technological sectors.

Success will require sustained political commitment extending across multiple electoral cycles.

Figure 2. Three Industrial Challenges within AUKUS

Dimension	United States	United Kingdom	Australia
Design Capability	Mature	Mature	Developing
Industrial Base	Contracted since Cold War	Limited but continuous	Being established
Primary Challenge	Increase production rate	Expand industrial capacity	Build sovereign enterprise
Current Approach	Modernise production methods, including lessons from South Korea	Expand existing sovereign model	Create new industrial ecosystem
Principal Risk	Virginia production shortfall	Programme concurrency	Capability gap during transition

Australia's Capability Gap

The greatest operational risk facing AUKUS is not the eventual delivery of nuclear-powered submarines. It is maintaining a credible submarine capability during the transition.

The Collins-class Life-of-Type Extension has therefore become one of Australia's most strategically significant defence projects.

Should LOTE fail to deliver sufficient submarine availability—or should the Virginia transfer schedule slip—Australia risks entering the 2030s with an increasingly limited sovereign undersea capability.

This "valley of deterrence" would emerge precisely during a period characterised by heightened Indo-Pacific strategic competition.

While allied submarine presence through SRF-West would enhance deterrence and interoperability, it cannot substitute for sovereign Australian capability under national command.

Cost, Opportunity Cost and Force Structure

AUKUS has become the dominant long-term investment within Australia's defence portfolio.

The estimated program cost, together with associated expenditure on Collins LOTE, Osborne infrastructure, workforce development and regulatory institutions, represents an unprecedented commitment of national resources.

The strategic question, however, is not simply affordability.

It is opportunity cost.

Every major defence investment inevitably influences broader force structure decisions.

As submarine expenditure increases, pressure may emerge across other capability areas including:

- Surface combatants
- Integrated air and missile defence
- Long-range strike
- Guided weapons
- Logistics
- Autonomous systems
- Cyber capabilities
- Readiness and sustainment

This does not invalidate AUKUS.

Rather, it reinforces the importance of maintaining balance across the Australian Defence Force while avoiding overconcentration of investment within a single capability.

Figure 3. SAGE Strategic Risk Matrix

Risk	Probability	Strategic Impact
Collins LOTE delays	**Very High**	**Critical**
Virginia production shortfall	High	**Critical**
Osborne workforce shortages	High	High
Cost escalation	High	High
UK industrial delays	Moderate	High
Defence budget distortion	High	High
Political change	Moderate	Medium
Congressional reluctance to release boats	Moderate	**Critical**

SAGE Strategic Assessment

> **SAGE Assessment:** Australia should continue to pursue AUKUS, but the programme should be managed as a national industrial mobilisation effort rather than a conventional defence acquisition.

Australia's decision to pursue nuclear-powered submarines under AUKUS remains strategically sound. Few alternative capabilities provide equivalent deterrent value across the Indo-Pacific.

However, the defining challenge is no longer technological.

It is industrial.

Success will depend upon the ability of three democracies to regenerate the industrial capacity required to sustain long-term strategic competition.

Australia's greatest near-term priority should therefore be reducing transition risk rather than accelerating ambition.

That requires maintaining Collins availability, supporting allied industrial expansion, investing in Osborne's workforce, preserving balanced force structure development and preparing credible contingency options should elements of the AUKUS pathway experience delay.

Early Warning Indicators

Indicator	Strategic Significance
Collins LOTE schedule slips	Increased probability of capability gap
US Virginia production remains below target	Reduced likelihood of timely transfers
Congressional resistance grows	Political risk to submarine transfer
Osborne construction delays	Sovereign industrial capability delayed
Further ADF project deferrals	Budget distortion becoming evident
Workforce shortages persist	Industrial capacity constraints deepen

Bottom Line

AUKUS is ultimately a wager on time.

Australia is betting that its existing submarine capability can be sustained long enough for a new industrial enterprise to emerge across three allied nations. Whether that wager succeeds will depend not upon strategic intent alone, but upon the ability of governments to rebuild the industrial foundations of maritime power before the strategic environment deteriorates further.

For Australia, the success of AUKUS will ultimately be measured not by announcements or funding commitments, but by whether the Royal Australian Navy retains a credible sovereign submarine capability throughout the transition to a nuclear-powered fleet.

SAGE Policy Recommendations

1. Capability Assurance Planning

The Australian Government should formally develop and periodically review contingency options should elements of the AUKUS Optimal Pathway experience significant delay. These should include measures to mitigate a sovereign submarine capability gap, such as extending Collins availability where feasible, accelerating autonomous undersea systems, expanding allied operational integration, and examining other conventional submarine options if circumstances require.

Rationale: *The absence of a publicly articulated contingency plan increases strategic risk by assuming that every major milestone within the AUKUS pathway will be achieved on schedule.*

2. Prioritise Collins Life-of-Type Extension

Treat Collins LOTE as Australia's highest-priority naval capability project during the transition period. Maintaining submarine availability throughout the 2030s is fundamental to preserving sovereign undersea capability.

3. Accelerate Workforce Development at Osborne

Expand investment in engineering education, apprenticeships, nuclear stewardship and industrial skills to reduce the risk that workforce shortages become the critical constraint on Australia's submarine enterprise.

4. Support Allied Industrial Expansion

Continue investing in United States and United Kingdom submarine industrial capacity, recognising that Australia's own submarine schedule is directly dependent upon allied production performance.

5. Preserve Balanced Force Structure

Ensure that investment in AUKUS does not come at the expense of other capabilities essential to Australia's near-term deterrence, including guided weapons, surface combatants, logistics, cyber resilience and integrated air and missile defence.

Collectively, these recommendations are intended to reduce transition risk rather than alter Australia's strategic direction. The objective is not to replace AUKUS, but to increase the likelihood that the program delivers sovereign submarine capability while preserving Australia's broader defence posture throughout the transition.

***

Selected Open-Source References

Australian Government

Australian Government Department of Defence. *2024 National Defence Strategy.* Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia, 2024.

Australian Government Department of Defence. *Integrated Investment Program 2024.* Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia, 2024.

Australian Submarine Agency. *Official Statements and Programme Updates.* Commonwealth of Australia.

Australian National Audit Office (ANAO). *Collins Class Submarines—Life of Type Extension Planning and Implementation.* Canberra.

United States Government

Congressional Budget Office (CBO). *An Analysis of the Navy's Fiscal Year 2025 Shipbuilding Plan.* Washington, DC.

Congressional Research Service (CRS). *Navy Virginia-Class Attack Submarine Program: Background and Issues for Congress.*

US Department of Defense. *AUKUS Fact Sheets and Joint Statements.*

US Navy. *Submarine Force Structure Assessments and Industrial Base Statements.*

United Kingdom Government

UK Ministry of Defence. *Defence Command Paper 2023 Refresh.*

UK Ministry of Defence. *AUKUS and SSN-AUKUS Programme Statements.*

Australian Strategic Research

Australian Strategic Policy Institute (ASPI)

- The Strategist articles on AUKUS
- Reports on Collins LOTE
- Reports on Australia's submarine transition
- Defence industrial base analysis

Lowy Institute

- Commentary on AUKUS
- Australia–United States alliance
- Defence policy analysis

United States Studies Centre

- AUKUS research
- Force structure analysis
- Defence industrial assessments

International Strategic Research

Center for Strategic and International Studies

Selected reports on naval shipbuilding, AUKUS and defence industrial capacity.

RAND Corporation

Research on force structure, naval modernisation and defence industrial resilience.

Royal United Services Institute

Research on UK submarine construction and AUKUS.

International Institute for Strategic Studies

The Military Balance and associated research on naval capability and force development.

Industry and Technical Sources

BAE Systems

Programme updates relating to SSN-AUKUS and Barrow.

Rolls-Royce

Nuclear propulsion programme information.

Hanwha Ocean

Industrial capability and shipbuilding modernisation.

HD Hyundai Heavy Industries

Digital shipyard and naval construction information.

News and Specialist Defence Publications

Breaking Defense

Defense News

Naval News

The Strategist

War on the Rocks

USNI News